

## **Exhibit 20**

Case 1:07-cv-06707-NRB Document 10 Filed 10/01/2007 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE

500 PEARL STREET

NEW YORK, NY 10007-1312

NAOMI REICE BUCHWALD  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

212-875-4119

October 1, 2007

Gregory P. Vidler, Esq.  
Guzov Offsink, LLC  
500 Madison Avenue, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022

Thomas Swigert, Esq.  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500  
Minneapolis, MN 55402


Brooke E. Pietrzak, Esq.  
Dorsey & Whitney LLP  
250 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10177

**Re: Midas Fund v. Gammon Gold**  
**07 Civ. 6707 (NRB)**

Dear Counsel:

I have reviewed your letters of September 14 and 28, 2007. Having done so, I have concluded that the most sensible and efficient course of action is to permit the plaintiff to amend its complaint one last time and following service of the proposed amended complaint to set a date for all defendants to move against or answer the complaint. Any other course of action risks repetitive briefing of motions addressed to the sufficiency of the complaint.

Very truly yours,



Naomi Reice Buchwald  
United States District Judge